

5 signs a pediatric damages case may need physician-reviewed future-needs analysis

A short, high-level screening aid for counsel evaluating whether projected future care may need closer pediatric clinical review before damages are framed.

01 · Growth and changing function	The future-care model treats the child as static, even though function, equipment needs, mobility, independence, or supervision needs may change materially across development.
02 · Therapy intensity	Projected frequency, duration, or escalation of therapies appears generalized rather than connected to the child's diagnosis, response pattern, and likely pediatric course.
03 · Equipment and replacement	The plan assumes adult-style equipment lifespan or replacement cycles without accounting for growth, fit, functional change, or specialty-specific needs.
04 · Surveillance or procedures	Long-term monitoring, medications, interventions, or procedures are projected without a clear pediatric specialty rationale or plausible clinical pathway.
05 · School and caregiver support	Educational, supervision, or caregiver assumptions materially affect the damages picture but have not been anchored to developmental reality and pediatric medical necessity.

Best first step

Request a general pediatric future-needs fit review. Begin with a short, non-confidential matter overview to assess whether physician-reviewed life care planning or pediatric subspecialty input may materially clarify the future-care discussion.

Public-channel boundary: Do not send patient information, medical records, or confidential case materials through the website.